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# Introduction

The following University regulations apply to all higher degrees classified by the Research Degrees Committee as Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice (EdPracD).

These regulations govern applications for admission to the above degree both by candidates who are members of staff of the University (whether teaching, research or other category) alumni of the University, or external applicants who are not Hull graduates.

The University Research Degrees Committee is the final arbiter of matters regarding the application and/or interpretation of the Regulations.

University regulations, codes of practice and other key documents referred to in this document are available on the [University of Hull Quality and Standards](https://www.hull.ac.uk/choose-hull/university-and-region/key-documents/quality) webpage.

# ADMISSION AND ENROLMENT

# Admission to the degree

* 1. To be admitted to undertake the degree of Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice a candidate must have been awarded the degree of Bachelors with First or Upper Second Class Honours (or equivalent) from either this University or another University at least seven years ago at the time of application, or the degree of Masters at least six years ago.
  2. Where the candidate is a member of staff of the University, whether teaching, research or other category, admission shall be subject to the requirement that the degree, whether Bachelor or Master, was awarded by an institution approved for the purposes of these regulations by the Research Degrees Committee.
  3. The Academic Unit to which the candidate is making the application will appoint a supervisor to advise on the submission, if the candidate so requests.

# Graduates of the University of London

* 1. A graduate of the University of London who has pursued a full-time programme of study extending over at least two academic years in the University College of Hull shall be deemed to be a graduate of the University of Hull for the purpose of Regulation 1.
  2. Note: this is prescribed in Ordinance II.6.

# Duration and mode of prescribed period of study

3.1 The prescribed period of study is 12 months in total.

3.2 The mode of study is part-time, and can be undertaken on a distance learning basis.

# Maximum period of registration

4.1 The maximum period of registration for all candidates on the Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice is 2 years, which includes any finalisation or continuation periods.

# Application for admission

## Prima Facie Stage

* 1. Candidates applying for admission to the EdPracD degree under these regulations will be required to undergo a prima facie assessment of their work prior to formal admission. The purpose of this ‘pre-admission’ stage will be to make a preliminary judgement as to the quality and coherence of the body of scholarship and practice to be submitted.
  2. Candidates must apply for a prima facie assessment of their submission using the [online proforma](https://shop.hull.ac.uk/product-catalogue/doctoral-college/doctoral-college/phd-by-published-works-application-and-preliminary-assessment-fee.) , and enclose or upload up to three examples of professional practice, with a brief commentary on the candidate’s contribution to their development.
  3. The prima facie assessment of their submission will be made in consultation with the Chair of the Research Degrees Committee, by two members of the Faculty with expertise in the relevant subject area.

## Formal Application

* 1. Candidates whose prima facie application has been approved will be eligible to make a full application for the award of EdPracD via the University’s online application portal.
  2. All the work submitted shall be in English or in the form of a certified translation, apart from quotations, unless in a particular case the Research Degrees Committee has allowed the candidate to submit the works in another language

# Previous submission of a thesis for a higher degree

* 1. A candidate shall not be eligible to apply for admission to the EdPracD degree under these Regulations if that person has submitted a thesis in candidature for a higher degree of this University which has not been approved for the degree, unless the content of the published or practice-based work is substantially different from that of the unsuccessful thesis.
  2. The Research Degrees Committee is the final arbiter of whether a thesis is ‘substantially different’ in 4.1.

# Previous submission of published and practice-based work

* 1. A candidate shall not submit a publication or work in publishable format if it consists entirely of work submitted for a degree, diploma or other qualification at this or any other University, and shall be required, in making an application under Reg 3, to declare that the works in question have not previously been submitted for another qualification.
  2. However, the candidate shall not be precluded from incorporating works which have been or are concurrently being so submitted or which are based upon works submitted for other qualifications provided that the candidate, in making the application under Reg 3, declares that this is the case.

# Submission of joint work

* 1. If a candidate submits work published or authored jointly with others, the candidate shall submit evidence as to the extent of the candidate’s own contribution to these works and shall be assessed critically by the examiners.

# SUPERVISION AND PROGRESS MONITORING

# Supervision

* 1. A principal supervisor shall be appointed for each candidate.
  2. Supervision of the candidate’s exegesis shall be conducted in accordance with any Code of Practice approved by the Research Degrees Committee for the purpose.
  3. Meetings with the supervisor shall be no less than six times per academic session. Before the candidate begins the prescribed period of study, the Academic Unit shall be satisfied that the candidate is able to adhere to this requirement and has adequate facilities to pursue the programme of study.

# Evidence of satisfactory academic progress

* 1. A system for monitoring the progress of a candidate shall be in place in accordance with criteria prescribed by the Research Degrees Committee (See Code of Practice for PGR Students).
  2. A candidate’s prescribed period of study may be terminated on the grounds of unsatisfactory progress as measured against criteria established under 10.1 provided that this is carried out in accordance with the University Code of Practice Research Degrees: Termination of Candidature. The decision to terminate the prescribed period of study shall be made by the Chair of the Research Degrees Committee or their delegate on the recommendation of the Head of Academic Unit and relevant Graduate Research Director.

# Suspension of study requested by a candidate

* 1. During the prescribed period of study as defined in regulation 3, a candidate may suspend their studies by making a written application using the approved application form to the Research Degrees Committee for periods not exceeding 12 months.

# Suspension of study on grounds of risk

For Suspension of study on grounds of risk please see the Support for Study policy.

# ASSESSMENT

# Method of Examination

* 1. The candidate shall be examined by means of:

1. submitted practice-based work comprising an electronic portfolio with an appropriate theoretical and critically reflective supporting document,
2. an oral examination on the work submitted and on the general field of knowledge within which it falls.

# Standards and criteria for the award

* 1. To be eligible for the award of the Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice (EdPracD) under these Regulations a candidate must demonstrate that the work submitted makes a significant contribution to scholarship and professional practice and reflects such further Standards and Criteria as are approved by the Research Degrees Committee from time to time.
  2. The number of practice-based works will depend on both the academic area and the type of publication or professional practice evidence included in the submission, but it is expected that the work should be in length and substance equivalent to an EdD thesis, consisting of a portfolio including, for example, a university policy document, minutes of a working or strategic development group, an example of primary research of publishable quality, evidence of external engagement, awards and achievement, evidence of impact from initiatives to enhance learning and teaching. Evidence may be in the public domain, accessible within university or institutional systems, or may come with the endorsement of a manager or senior leader.
  3. For the purposes of these regulations each practice-based work shall normally have been through a process of approval within a formal education system, for example a validation event, scrutiny and adoption by School of Faculty leadership, University Senate or Council, peer reviewed by an academic journal, or formally assessed by a professional body (for example Advance HE).
  4. Where the title of EdPracD is awarded for a submission combining creative or practical work with a critical exegesis (for example in Creative Writing, Music or Theatre Performance, or Digital Technologies) details of the specific requirements for the submitted work and critical exegesis in terms of relative word-counts will vary by discipline. The specific requirements for individual named awards will be approved on application to the Research Degrees Committee.

# Submission of the published work and exegesis

* 1. The candidate shall be responsible for ensuring that electronic copies of the following documents are received by the Doctoral College within 12 months of commencing the degree:

1. The practice-based evidence specified in the application
2. Any published work specified in the application
3. An exegesis or supporting document of up to 15,000 words contextualising the submission and explaining in an introduction and conclusion the importance of the contribution to scholarship of the practice-based works
4. A declaration or declarations required under Regulation 5
5. Such further evidence as may be required under Regulation 6 in respect of joint work.
   1. Submission shall be in such format as prescribed by the Research Degrees Committee. Following award of the degree the candidate shall submit an electronic copy of the thesis which shall be retained by, and be the property of, the University.
   2. A candidate shall give not less than three months’ notice of the expected date of submission of the practice-based works and exegesis.
   3. **In exceptional circumstances only** a candidate who is unable to submit the required documents within the 12 month period, can move into the finalisation (continuation) period, for which additional fees must be paid.

# Appointment of examiners

* 1. The examination shall be conducted by one external examiner and one internal examiner, both appointed by the Research Degrees Committee on the recommendation of the Head of the relevant Academic Unit and a Graduate Research Director from the same area. Examination of the thesis shall normally take place within three months of the date of submission.
  2. Examiners must be nominated following notice of the expected date of submission being received by the Doctoral College as per 15.3 above. Nominations must be received before submission of the required documents. The Doctoral College will only accept nomination of examiners after the submission of the required documents in exceptional circumstances
  3. Examiners should hold a qualification higher than or equal to that being examined
  4. The internal examiner shall not be one of the candidate’s supervisors.
  5. The examination shall be chaired by a member of academic staff appointed by the Research Degrees Committee in accordance with the University code of practice governing the chairing of research degree by thesis viva voce examinations.
  6. Where the candidate is a member of staff, whether temporary or permanent or has held a paid appointment or appointments at the University for a cumulative period of more than six months within the last five years, a second external examiner should also be appointed. The Chair of Research Degrees Committee is the final arbiter of the appointment of examiners.
  7. Examiners should have appropriate levels of expertise and experience in relation to the roles to be performed as part of the assessment.
  8. If a nominee for examiner is no longer employed in an academic role in a research institution, for example is retired or is an Emeritus Professor, the nomination must be able to evidence recent research work relevant to the subject area.
  9. The external examiner should not be a former student of the University, member of staff, or person with Recognised Teacher Status, unless a period of no fewer than five years have elapsed.
  10. Examiners must not have collaborated with the candidate in any previous research endeavours.
  11. Examiners must not be collaborating significantly with the supervision team in any current scholarly activity. Any previous or current collaborations should be declared on the Nomination of Examiners Form.
  12. The supervisors shall be entitled to attend the oral examination with the agreement of the examiners and the candidate, but not participate in the examination.
  13. Each examiner shall make an independent report on the published works before the oral examination, shall be present at the oral examination, and shall sign a joint Recommendation of Examiners form to record the result of the oral examination.

# Conduct of the oral examination

* 1. The examination shall be chaired by a member of academic staff appointed by the Research Degrees Committee in accordance with the University code of practice governing the chairing of research degree by thesis viva voce examinations.

# Examiners’ Recommendations

* 1. The examiners must make one of the following recommendations:

1. that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice.
2. that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice subject to corrections being made to the supporting document to the satisfaction of the internal examiner within three months of the date of being informed of the decision of the examiners. The term corrections refer to typographical errors, occasional stylistic or grammatical flaws, corrections to references etc.
3. that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Education by Academic Professional Practice subject to amendments. The term amendments refer to certain changes of substance in a specific element or elements of the supporting document specified by the examiners. This shall not involve a revision of the whole thesis or of a major proportion of it. The changes must be made to the supporting document to the satisfaction of the internal examiner within six months of the date of being informed of the decision of the examiners.
4. that the degree be not awarded but the candidate be permitted to submit on one occasion only a new/ revised set of practice-based items and publications, and/or a revised supporting document containing an overview of the portfolio of items as a coherent body of academic practice within twelve months of the date of being informed of the decision of the examiners.
5. that the portfolio of items and/or exegesis is not of the required standard and no award be made to the candidate.

18.2 A candidate whose portfolio pr practice-based evidence and published work and/or exegesis is not of the required standard either at first or second attempt shall be entitled to receive a written statement from the examiners of the way in which the work falls short of the requirements to pass.

# Resubmission

* 1. Where a candidate has been permitted to submit on one further occasion only a revised set of documents under Regulation 11.1c the candidate shall be required to submit evidence of the additional contribution to existing scholarship and professional practice over and above that material which was originally submitted for the degree.

# ACADEMIC/PROFESSIONAL DISCIPLINE

# Academic Misconduct

* 1. Allegations of academic misconduct shall be subject to the Regulations for Academic Misconduct, and no penalty shall be imposed other than in accordance with the said Regulations.
  2. Candidates shall also abide by the principles of good research practice as defined in the Code of Practice on Research Misconduct. Allegations of research misconduct shall be investigated in accordance with the said code.

# Professional Standards of Conduct (Fitness to Practise)

* 1. For information regarding professional standards of conduct (fitness to practice) please refer to the University Regulations Governing the Investigation and Determination of Concerns about Fitness to Practice.

# RESULTS AND AWARDS

# Notification of Results and Transcripts

* 1. All candidates shall be entitled to an official transcript on completion of their period of enrolment at the University provided they are not in debt to the University for the payment of tuition fees.