

Request Reference: 3528

FOI Request dated 11/11/2025 –

1. *The number of proven cases of academic misconduct broken down by year from 2018-19 to the present.*
2. *The number of proven cases of academic misconduct related to usage of artificial intelligence broken down by year from 2018-19 to the present.*
3. *The number of students enrolled at the university broken down year by year from 2018-19 to the present.*
4. *Policy documents the university has regarding its approach to academic misconduct involving student usage of artificial intelligence.*

Response

1.

- 2019-2020 = **279**
- 2020-2021 = **512**
- 2021-2022 = **698**
- 2022-2023 = **909**
- 2023-2024 = **581**
- 2024-2025 = **608**

2.

2019-2020 = **0**

2020-2021 = **0**

2021-2022 = **0**

2022-2023 = **1**

2023-2024 = **71**

2024-2025 (to 18/09/25 this applies to all of this year) = **70**

3 & 4 –

Section 1 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) places two duties on public authorities. Unless exemptions apply, the first duty at Section 1(1)(a) is to confirm or deny whether the information specified in a request is held. The second duty at Section 1(1)(b) is to disclose information that has been confirmed as being held. Where exemptions are relied upon Section 17 of FOIA requires that we provide the applicant with a notice which: a) states that fact b) specifies the exemption(s) in question and c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies.

It has been determined that this information is exempt on the basis that s21 of the Act applies – Information Reasonably Accessible by Other Means. As this exemption is absolute there is no requirement for me to conduct a public interest test, however in order to provide assistance I provide the following links –

- [Where do HE students study? | HESA](#)
- [regulations-governing-academic-misconduct-v4-14-march-2025-housekeeping-1.docx](#)
- [3143-ai-misconduct](#)