

Welfare Gains to UK from a Global Free Trade

Keshab Bhattarai¹

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a 11 region 15 sector global trade model which includes the UK as one of the regions. Model results show that a global elimination of tariffs, export taxes and subsidies raises the volume of global trade. Gains from the global free trade are 1.3 percent of the global GDP, roughly about 325 billion dollars in 1995. In absolute terms Japan gains the most (91 billion dollars) followed by Europe (67 billion dollars) and the USA (54 billion dollars). UK gains about 11 billion dollars (6.8 billion pounds) from multilateral trade liberalisation. These gains are significantly higher than gains reported from unilateral liberalisation obtained from a small open economy model. Gains from free trade as a share of GDP are much higher for emerging countries such as China than for other regions in the model.

October 2001

Revision January 2002

Keywords: Global trade model, UK economy

JEL classification: C68, F40

I am grateful to an anonymous referee for helpful comment and to the Center for Study of International Economic Relations at the University of Western Ontario Canada , the Global Trade Modelling Center at Purdue University and the OECD for help with databases and to Thomas F. Rutherford of the University of Colorado and John Whalley of Universities of Warwick and Western Ontario and Tobi Kendall at Warwick for suggestions and comments. Correspondence address: Department of Economic, University of Hull, Cottingham Road HU6 7RX, Hull. Email K.R.Bhattarai@hull.ac.uk, phone: 44-1482-466483; fax: 44-1482-466216.

1. Background

The global trade model presented in this paper explicitly models the UK economy, which is linked to other economies through trade and investment. The UK is part of the wider world economy, where key regions and countries (such as the UK, the EU, USA, Japan, China, Canada-Australia and New Zealand, Africa and other Rest of the World economies) are modelled as separate but linked economies with substantial detail in the representation of production and consumption. Considering a little over 55 percent of the UK's international trade occurs within the EU (see Table 3 below), it is important to illustrate a model which explains the trading relations between the UK and the EU and then between the EU and other trading blocks in the global economy. Here the UK economy is modelled alongside other ten different regions in the global economy.

The GTAP4 data (Hertel (1997)) allows us to build a global model treating the UK as a separate region trading with the EU, the USA, other trading blocks and the rest of the world. This global model enables policy makers to examine the specific impacts of international trade policies pursued at the European level, at a level of various other trading blocks, and at a global level. It also allows for trade policy evaluation on a bilateral as well as on a multilateral basis.

The sectoral structure of the global model presented here is the same as for the open economy model presented in a parallel paper (Bhattarai (2000)). The only difference between these two models is that the global model consists of interdependent economies grouped in one of eleven trading blocks, namely, UK, Europe, USA, Canada-Australia and New Zealand, Japan, China, Asia, Central Europe, Former Soviet Union, oil exporting countries, and the rest of the world,

whereas only the UK economy was considered in the small open economy model. Each of the trading regions in the global model has 15 production sectors, a representative household and a government, which collects taxes from factor incomes and domestically supplied or imported consumption goods and imports and redistributes this revenue through transfers. Goods are differentiated by location of production, i.e. the same good produced in the UK is different from that produced in the USA.

As discussed for the small open economy model, a representative household in each trading region maximises utility subject to a budget constraint, and producers maximise profit subject to technology constraints even in the global model. Households buy both domestic and foreign goods and producers produce for both domestic and foreign markets. Both the utility of households and production by firms are described by standard constant elasticity of substitution (CES) functions; they are concave, monotonic, homothetic and continuous. Equilibrium conditions in each region and at a global level imply that markets for goods and capital clear, competitive firms earn zero economic profit, the income and expenditure of a representative household are equal and trade is balanced. Labour market clears at the regional level in the model. The multi-regional equilibrium model is closed by allowing quantities, prices and income to adjust at global as well as regional level until all excess demand functions are zero and equilibrium conditions are satisfied. We use these market clearing conditions for simplicity and also following the tradition set in Arrow-Debreu general equilibrium models (1954).

The capital inflow or outflow, if any, is allowed to clear any imbalance in international trade. Capital will flow into and out of regions until real returns are equalised across among all regions and sectors. The governments in each region are

allowed to carry out their own fiscal and trade policies in order to enhance bilateral and multi-lateral trades. This model explicitly specifies interdependency in global markets, and is an appropriate framework for the evaluation of the effects of various trade and investment promoting measures being pursued by members of the trading community grouped in various trading blocks (See Hartel (1997), Perroni and Whalley (1996), Whalley and Hamilton (1996), Will and Winters (1996) for more discussion on global trade).

2. The Structure of the Global Trade Model

Each region in the global model is endowed with primary factors of production, land, capital, skilled and unskilled labour and natural resources. These non-labour primary factors are either used in producing goods in the same region where these factors are located, or are permitted to move to other regions in response to factor price changes. Labour is mobile across sectors only at the regional level. Production in sector i in region r uses intermediate inputs, and labour and capital from its own region as well as from all other regions.

$$Y_{i,r} = \min \left(\frac{INT_{j,i,r}}{a_{j,i,r}}, \left(K_{i,r}^{\beta_r} L_{i,r}^{1-\beta_r} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

Here $Y_{i,r}$ is output of the sector i good in region r , $K_{i,r}$ is capital services originating in region r but used to produce the good i in region r , $L_{i,r}$ are labour services originating in region r but used to produce the sector i good in region r , $INT_{j,i,r}$ is an intermediate input originating in sector j of region r but used to produce the sector i good in region r , $a_{j,i,r}$ is a coefficient that gives the amount of the sector j intermediate input of region r used to produce the sector i good in region r , and β_r is the share of capital

income in sectoral output in region r . Land and natural resources are additional inputs in case of agriculture sector.

The output of good a in a particular region i , $Y_{i,r}$, is either supplied to the home region or exported to other regions. This is represented by a constant elasticity of transformation (CET) function:

$$Y_{i,r} = \left(\delta_{i,r} YD_{i,r}^{\eta_{i,r}} + (1 - \delta_{i,r}) X_{i,r}^{\eta_{i,r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta_{i,r}}} \quad (2)$$

where $YD_{i,r}$ is domestic sales of output of good i in region r , $X_{i,r}$ is exports of good i from a region r , $\delta_{i,r}$ is the share of domestic sales of gross output, $Y_{i,r}$, and $\eta_{i,r}$ is the elasticity of transformation between domestic sales and exports.

Total domestic supplies comes from domestic sales plus imports. Thus absorption of region, r is given by a CES aggregation of imports and domestic supplies

$$A_{i,r} = \left(\mu_{i,r} YD_{i,r}^{\sigma_{i,r}} + (1 - \mu_{i,r}) M_{i,r}^{\sigma_{i,r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{i,r}}} \quad (3)$$

Here $A_{i,r}$, is Armington aggregation of domestic and imported goods, $\sigma_{i,r}$ is the elasticity of substitution between imported and domestic products, $\mu_{i,r}$ is the share of domestic production in the Armington product and $M_{i,r}$ is imports of good i to region r . The value of imports of goods into regions r are equal to value of exports of other region to that region plus transportation costs from the origin to the destination.

Transportation services are proportional to trade:

$$T_{i,r,s} = \tau_{i,r,s} M_{i,r,s} \quad (4)$$

Here $T_{i,r,s}$ transportation services, $\tau_{i,r,s}$ is transport cost per unit of traded goods $M_{i,r,s}$ amount of good i traded from region r to s .

These international transport services are produced using transport goods supplied by each region.

For simplicity, we represent the utility function in each region by a CES or Cobb-Douglas aggregation of final consumption goods supplied by each region. The total domestic demand is divided between household and government consumption. Household consumption is a Cobb-Douglas aggregation of sector i commodities over all r regions.

$$U_r = \prod_{i,r} C_{i,r}^\gamma \quad (5)$$

Households receive factor income from all regions and transfers from their own government. The income of the representative household in each region is

$$I_r = \sum_i w_r L_{i,r} + \sum_r r_r K_{i,r} + RV_r \quad (7)$$

where I_r is income, w_r is wage rate and r_r is the interest rate and RV_r is the transfer received by a representative household in region r .

Government consumption demand reflects a Cobb-Douglas aggregate of all sector i commodities over all r regions.

$$G_r = \prod_{i,r} GD_{i,r}^\gamma \quad (8)$$

$GD_{i,r}^g$ is the government consumption of good i in region r . The government in each region collects taxes from factors income, intermediate inputs, imports and domestic sales.

$$G_r = \tau_k r_r \bar{K}_r + \tau_w w_r \bar{L}_r + \tau_{i,r} P_{i,r} Y_{i,r} + \tau_{N,r} P_{i,r} INT_{j,i,r} \quad (9)$$

Here G_r is total government revenue, $t_{k,r}$ is tax rate on capital income, $t_{w,r}$ is tax rate on labour income, $t_{w,r}$ is tax rate in wage income, $t_{i,r}$ is tax rate on intermediate income, $t_{N,r}$ is tax rate on intermediate input.

A competitive equilibrium in this global economy is such that, given the prices of commodities and factors, demands for good and supply of goods are equal at the regional as well as the global level; factor market clears for each region and at the world level; consumers of each region maximise their utility subject to their income constraints; and the government budget and trade are balanced for each region.

In this global model a competitive equilibrium is given by prices of consumption goods, $P_{i,r}$; the prices of capital; a wage rate for labour, w_r levels of gross output, $Y_{i,r}$; capital use, $K_{i,r}$; sectoral use of labour, $L_{i,r}$; and income I_r such that, given these prices and quantities

- i) households in each region maximise utility subject to their budget constraints;
- ii) firms in each region maximise profits subject to technology constraints;
- iii) labour market clears at the regional level;
- iv) the markets for goods and services and capital clear in each region and at the global level;
- v) the government budget constraint is satisfied for each region, and
- vi) the trade-balance condition is satisfied at the regional and global level.

More specifically, the market clearing condition for the goods market is given by

$$Y_{i,r} = \sum_r C_{i,r} + \sum_{rr,j} a_{i,j,r} INT_{i,j,r} \quad (10)$$

The global capital market clearing condition implies

$$\sum_r \bar{K}_r = \sum_{i,r} K_{r,ri} \quad (11)$$

and labour market clears at the regional level:

$$LS_r = \sum_i LS_{i,r} \quad (12)$$

When there are $r.n$ different markets in the economy, relative prices that clear $rn-1$ markets also clear the rn th market as well (Walras (1954)).

Model parameters are calibrated using information on international trade flows and production and consumption flows in each region reported in the GTAP4 data base for 1995 compiled by the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) of the Purdue University in Indiana in the USA. This data base contains data on 50 sector input-output tables and national account series for 45 different regions in the global economy. We follow the GTAPinGAMS approach used by Rutherford (1998, GAMS/MPSGE (1997)) in formulating the model equations. MPSGE (Mathematical Programme for System of General Equilibrium Models) is a programming language with interface to the GAMS (General Algebraic Modelling System) software¹.

3. Data sources and calibration procedure in the Global Trade model

The global trade model presented above requires data on output, imports, exports, consumption and government demand, employment of labour and capital, intermediate inputs, and base year prices for each sector and region included in the model. It also needs tax and tariff rates for each product. We use GTAP4.

The GTAP4 data has been prepared by the Center for Global Trade Analysis, Purdue University (McDougall (1998), Hertel (1997)) for implementing a global trade model from the UK's perspective. This data base consists of 50 GTAP sectors

¹ The program used is presented in appendix II can be made available upon request for people with access to the GTAP4 data set.

and 45 GTAP regions. We use the GTAP aggregation software of Rutherford (1998)² that maps data from the GLOBAL.HAR file of the GTAP4 data base to a GAMS readable data file, GTAP4001.gms. We also take basic features of Rutherford's (1998) regional model structure for implementing the global model.

Table 1
Regional concordance of Global Trade Model with GTAP regions

Model Regions	GTAP Regions
UK	United Kingdom, Channel Islands, Isle of Man
Europe (EUR)	Germany, Denmark, Sweden, Finland Rest of EU (Austria, Belgium, France, French Guiana, Gibraltar, Greece, Gaudeloupe, Holy See, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Martinique, Monaco, Netherlands, Portugal, Reunion, Saint Pierre and Miquelon, San Marino, Spain) European Free Trade Area (Iceland, Leichtenstein, Norway, Svalbard and Jan Mayen Is, Switzerland)
Central and Eastern Europe (CEA)	Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia
USA	American Samoa, Gaum, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, United States Vergin Islands, United States of America
Japan (JPN)	Japan
ACN	Canada, Australia, New Zealand
China	China, Hong Kong, Taiwan
Asia	Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines, Vietnam, Korea, India, Sri Lanka, Rest of Asia (Bangladesh, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan)
Former Soviet Union	Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Estonia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan
Major Oil Producers (MOP)	Mexico, Indonesia, Rest of the Middle East (Bahrain, Iran, Iraq, Isreal, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, United Arab Emirates, Yemen, Yemen Democratic) Rest of North Africa (Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Tunisia)

Table 1 (cont..)

² See the detailed description of GTAP aggregation in <http://nash.colorado.edu/tomruth/gtapingams.html/gtapgams.html>.

Regional concordance of Global Trade Model with GTAP regions

Rest of the World	<p>Morocco, Western Sahara, Turkey, Venezuela, Columbia, Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Uruguay</p> <p>Rest of Andean Pact (Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru)</p> <p>Central America and Caribbean (Anguila, Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Cayman Islands, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Montserrat, Netherlands Antilles, Nicaragua, Panama, Saint Christopher and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Trinidad and Tobago, Turks and Caicos Isl.)</p> <p>Rest of the South America (Guyana, Paraguay, Surinam)</p> <p>South Africa Customs Union (Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland)</p> <p>Rest of South Africa (Angola, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe)</p> <p>Rest of sub-Saharan Africa (Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Congo, Cote d'Ivoire, Djibouti, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Kenya, Liberia, Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, Mayotte, Niger, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sao Tome and Principe, Senegal, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Somalia, Sudan, Togo, Uganda, Zaire)</p> <p>Rest of the World (Afghanistan, Albania, Andorra, Bermuda, Bosnia and Herzegovina, British Indian Ocean Territories, Brunei, Cambodia, Christmas Island, Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Cook Islands, Croatia, Cyprus, Falkland Islands, Faroe Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, Greenland, Johnston Island Kiribati, Laos, Macao, Macedonia- former Yugoslav Republic, Malta, Marshall Islands, Federation State of Micronesia, Mongolia, Myanmar, Nauru, New Caledonia, Niue, North Korea, Pacific Islands, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Pitcairn Islands, Saint Helena, Solomon Islands, Tokelau, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Wake Island, Wallis and Futura Isl., Western Samoa, Yugoslavia)</p>
-------------------	--

We have aggregated the 45 GTAP regions into eleven model regions to represent the global market. These regions are UK, Europe, USA, Canada-Australia and New Zealand, Japan, China, Asia, Central Europe, Former Soviet Union, Major Oil Producers, and the Rest of the World. Countries included in each region are listed in Table 1. This regional classification is made according to the degree of UK's trade linkage in the global economy. Europe region, which consists of continental Europe, Scandinavian economies and other economies in the European Free Trade Area, is the major trading partner of the UK. We treat the UK as a separate region to make this

model to represent the UK perspective in the global trade issues. GTAP4 data set provides us the benchmark data set required for the calibration of the regional model.

Table 2
Concordance of sectors in the Global Trade Model with GTAP sectors

Model Sectors	Commodities
Agriculture	Paddy, wheat, grains, non-grain crops, wool, other livestock, fisheries, forestry
Extraction	Coal, Oil, Gas
Other mining	Other minerals, non-metallic mineral products,
Food and drink	Processed rice, meat products, milk products, other food products, beverage and tobacco,
Other Manufacturing	textiles, wearing apparel, leather etc., lumber, pulp, paper, etc.
Chemical	chemicals, rubbers, and plastic
Metal	primary ferrous metals
Engineering	fabricated metal products, machinery and equipment
Utilities	Electricity, gas and water
Construction	Construction
Trade and Transportation	Whole sale and retail trade, hotel and restaurants, railways highways subways transport, freight transport, inland and ocean transport, air transport, storage and warehousing, communication
Private services	Monetary and financial services, real estates, accounting, data processing, engineering and technical services, advertising, radio and TV broadcasting, amusement, repairs domestic services, photographic, personal services, business services
Public services	Public administration, health ,education, veterinary, welfare and religious organisations, social and related community services, International and extra-territorial bodies
Housing	Dwellings

We aggregate 50 GTAP sectors into fifteen global model sectors in Table 2 consistent with the classification in the small open economy model of the UK. These sectors are agriculture, extraction, other mining, food and drink, other manufacturing, chemical, metal, engineering, utilities, construction, trade and transportation, private services, public services and housing. These sectors closely relate to the classification desired by the Inland Revenue (Bhattarai (1999b)).

GTAP draws on various national and international data sources in creating the global trade database. It takes macroeconomic data on GDP and GDP components and population data from the Bank Economics and Social Database (BESD) of the International Economics Department of the World Bank. A large number of the input output tables were inherited from the Australian Industry Commission's SALTER project (McDougall (1998)). Input output tables for 12 European countries relies on the Central Statistical Offices of those countries, and Eurostat data base which contains input-output tables harmonised in accordance with the European System of Integrated National Accounts (ESA). The UK data in GTAP is drawn from the input-output table 1995 and business and agricultural statistics published by the Central Statistics Office in London.

Bilateral trade flows are based on the United Nation's COMTRADE database. GTAP's information on tariffs was drawn from UNCTAD's Trade Control Measures Database (TCMD) as well as from the WTO Integrated Database (IDB). TCMD is the most comprehensive database covering tariffs that is currently available. It covers all OECD member countries as well as a number of non-OECD countries. At the global level there are still many countries/regions which do not have input-output tables or other data sources. GTAP applies the proper regional average technique to fill data gaps in the absence of original data sources³.

Flows of trade from one region to other regions reflect the comparative advantage enjoyed by an exporting region over importing regions and the production and consumption structure among trading regions. We present the structure of total volume of trade from one region to another in percentage terms in Table 3. Figures in

³ See Whalley and Yeung (1983), Whalley (1985) more discussion on microconsistent data set required for regional trade models

this table show the volume of trade, in percentage terms, originating from a region on each row to other regions listed in columns. About 55 percent of the UK's trade occurs with the European countries, followed by another 14 percent with the United States, and remaining 30 percent spread among other regions. The intra-regional trade is very important in the European region where 58 percent of trade takes place among the member countries themselves. Also note that European region is the most integrated with other regions as reflected its dominance of trade link with other region in the global economy. Asian and the United States follow Europe in the degree of trade integration.

Table 3
Bilateral trade composition for 1995 (in percentage terms)
(From a region in the column to various regions in the row)

	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA	0.0	27.1	7.4	13.9	55.7	22.7	8.0	4.2	19.5	28.9	19.3
JPN	11.8	0.0	2.6	3.1	12.4	15.3	9.4	4.9	15.2	16.5	7.8
EUR	22.6	14.1	58.0	55.0	7.2	16.8	40.6	53.2	14.0	20.5	30.6
UK	5.6	3.3	8.1	0.0	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.6	2.3	4.9
CAN	19.2	4.7	1.5	3.6	3.5	3.9	0.9	0.8	3.2	2.3	2.0
CHN	7.0	16.9	2.6	2.8	4.9	16.7	6.9	3.4	12.6	3.6	3.7
FSU	1.0	0.5	1.8	1.4	0.3	0.7	4.3	5.2	1.2	0.4	1.5
CEA	0.7	0.4	3.2	1.8	0.2	0.7	9.1	11.5	0.7	0.6	1.3
ASI	11.6	23.4	4.1	6.2	7.1	11.8	7.7	2.7	18.2	13.8	6.3
MOP	11.2	5.3	4.8	5.9	3.0	3.6	2.7	3.8	5.9	4.2	4.8
ROW	9.3	4.3	5.8	6.3	3.2	5.0	7.2	7.0	5.9	6.7	17.8
TOTAL	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

Volume of the global trade in value terms are given in Table 4 below, which shows that the value of global trade stood around 5.6 trillion us dollars in 1995. This implies the openness of the global economy of around 22 percent in that year. Row sum in this table shows imports and column sum represents exports. In this benchmark data USA, UK, CEA, Asia and ROW regions had deficit in trade accounts whereas Japan, Europe, ACN, China, FSU and MOP regions had surpluses in the

trade account. Intra-regional trade in Europe alone had more than 2 trillion US dollars.

Also note that the North-North trade volume is significantly larger than South-South or South-North trade. Rich countries in the North trade more among themselves than with developing countries in the South. The reason for the small share of South-South trade compared to North-South trade lies in predominance of imports of machinery and high-tech manufactured products by developing countries from the rich industrialised countries in the North. The South regions supplies the North only with cheap primary products. For instance, the USA, Japan and European regions were the major trading partners for the Asia and ROW regions. Asia exported more to Europe, USA and the ACN regions than to the ROW or to Asia itself.

Table 4
Volume of bilateral trade for 1995 (in billion of US \$s)
(Imports across the column and exports down the column)

	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Global
USA		131	159	38	154	94	7	4	96	93	64	842
JPN	83		56	9	34	64	8	5	75	53	26	413
EUR	159	68	1244	152	20	69	36	57	69	66	101	2041
UK	39	16	174		7	12	3	4	17	7	16	295
ACN	134	23	33	10	10	16	1	1	16	7	7	257
CHN	49	82	56	8	14	69	6	4	62	12	12	373
FSU	7	3	39	4	1	3	4	6	6	1	5	78
CEA	5	2	69	5	1	3	8	12	3	2	4	114
ASI	82	113	87	17	20	49	7	3	89	45	21	533
MOP	78	26	103	16	8	15	2	4	29	14	16	312
ROW	65	21	125	17	9	21	6	7	29	22	59	381
Global	701	484	2145	276	277	414	89	107	491	322	331	5638

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

The North-North and South-North trade pattern observed above in aggregate trade flows is also apparent at the sectoral level. We present sectoral trade flows in the appendix A1. For instance, 71 percent of total exports of European agricultural products are sold within the European region, while intra-regional trade for

agricultural products is 19 percent in the Asia region. About 54 percent of CEA's agricultural products are exported to Europe compared to 15 percent intra-regional flows.

The composition of regional exports and imports are presented in Table 5 and Table 6. The row sum in Table 5 and 6 show the percentage of sectoral imports and exports in the global economy. Most global trade occurs in the engineering sector which comprised about 34 percent of global trade followed by other manufacturing, chemical and transport sectors. This global trade trend applied also to the UK economy. The columns for individual regions in table 5 and 6 represent sectoral share of imports and exports in each regions respectively. These regional aggregations on trade flows by goods and regions are obtained by aggregating the bilateral flows of GTAP countries. More details on their derivation and various consistency conditions checked for reconciling bilateral trade flows are presented in detail in McDougall (Chapter 3 and 16).

Subsidies and tariff rates are the most important means of protecting domestic industries against foreign competition. The GTAP reports trade-weighted average tariff rates from tariff lines of 6000 to 10,000 commodities. GTAP concordance procedure converts non-tariff distortions into tariff equivalent distortions for the effective tariff rates for year 1995 for the agriculture, energy, manufacturing and transport sectors as presented in Tables A2 and A3 in the appendix. Similarly producer subsidy equivalent (PSE) calculations are made to arrive at effective export taxes/ subsidies for all eight model sectors in Table A3.

Table 5
Sectoral composition of imports by regions for 1995
(gross of tariff in billions of US \$s)

	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	CAN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Global (%)
AGR	2.1	9.5	4.1	3.4	2.1	4.3	4.1	3.6	4.2	5.0	4.0	4.2
EXT	7.0	11.6	4.7	3.1	3.1	2.3	1.9	7.1	7.4	2.4	6.7	5.5
OMI	2.1	3.3	2.8	2.6	1.9	2.3	1.7	3.0	2.8	3.5	2.1	2.6
FDR	2.7	9.7	6.1	6.4	3.4	3.7	15.6	5.4	4.1	6.8	6.9	5.6
OMA	16.6	13.9	14.6	15.0	12.6	17.5	14.6	16.8	8.9	13.1	13.5	14.3
CHM	7.0	5.7	12.0	10.3	10.3	11.7	7.9	13.2	10.1	10.2	12.6	10.3
MTL	5.4	4.6	7.5	5.9	5.6	7.7	3.2	7.2	8.0	7.6	5.8	6.7
ENG	42.8	17.7	30.3	35.6	44.2	38.2	25.3	30.8	41.5	34.8	33.7	34.2
UTI	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
CON	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.8	0.4
TRN	6.5	18.2	7.8	10.5	10.4	5.6	12.0	8.6	5.7	9.2	9.8	8.6
PRS	5.9	5.7	6.8	2.5	5.6	3.5	11.5	2.7	4.5	3.7	2.3	5.3
PUB	1.8	0.1	2.7	4.3	0.8	2.2	1.1	0.1	2.7	2.2	1.7	2.1
Global (%)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total Value	904.2	474.3	2167	316.1	275.9	438.1	85.05	126.3	627.2	347.7	448	6210

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

Table 6
Sectoral composition of exports by regions for 1995
(gross of export taxes in billions of US \$s)

	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	CAN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Global (%)
AGR	5.1	0.1	2.5	1.3	6.5	1.4	6.1	2.9	3.0	3.3	12.2	3.4
EXT	1.2	0.4	2.1	4.5	8.8	0.9	19.2	3.3	2.8	39.0	12.2	5.3
OMI	1.2	1.2	2.4	2.8	3.6	1.7	2.8	3.0	2.3	3.4	6.5	2.5
FDR	3.8	0.4	6.6	5.1	6.8	2.5	3.8	4.7	5.9	2.1	9.9	5.2
OMA	8.1	6.1	12.5	8.5	14.7	31.8	6.8	19.5	17.8	11.1	13.9	13.2
CHM	9.6	7.5	12.6	12.1	6.8	7.2	11.0	9.3	6.3	6.5	6.1	9.7
MTL	3.6	5.8	7.1	5.8	7.8	6.0	25.4	13.2	3.6	3.7	8.9	6.5
ENG	39.7	63.7	32.9	33.7	28.7	30.1	3.8	19.2	37.2	16.3	7.4	33.2
UTI	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
CON	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	4.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4
TRN	14.0	11.4	11.2	15.7	10.9	14.3	13.1	15.9	15.8	10.6	16.1	12.8
PRS	10.4	3.4	6.9	6.1	3.2	2.7	5.3	2.7	3.0	1.4	3.1	5.5
PUB	3.3	0.1	2.3	4.3	1.8	0.9	1.9	1.2	2.1	2.4	3.7	2.3
Global (%)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total Value	736	503	2224	291	287	422	93	112	518	334	349	5867

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

Figures in the rows in appendix A2 show tariff rates applied to commodities imported by one region from other regions. Agriculture is the most heavily protected sector among all sectors, followed by manufacturing. For instance, agricultural products from the USA were subject to a 165 percent tariff rate in Japan, 59 percent in Asia, and 34 percent in China. Food and drink sector also is subject to heavy import duties among regions.

From the export taxes (and subsidies) presented in A3, we again see that agriculture receives the highest rate of export subsidy or is subject to the highest export tax rates among these various sectors. Export subsidies on agricultural products from Europe range from 1 percent for exports to the UK to 37 percent for exports to major oil producers. Export subsidy rates were relatively lower in the UK.

4. Welfare impacts of tariff reforms in the global trade model

We use our global trade model to compute welfare gains to various trading blocks from global free trade for a selected values of substitution elasticity among factors of production (σ), elasticity of substitution between domestic supplies and imports in consumption (σ^m) and transformation elasticity for domestic supplies and exports (σ^d). The results are displayed in Table 7.

The elimination of tariffs increases global trade. Almost all trading communities/regions in the model experience welfare gains from liberalisation. Altogether these gains add up to around 323 billion dollars for 1995. Gains from free trade at the global level is about 1.3 percent of the global GDP. This gain varies significantly from one region to another. Japan gains most by global free trade, which was equivalent to 91 billions dollars (1.93 percent of the Japanese GDP). Europe

gains 67 billion but only 0.95 percent of European GDP. UK gains 11 billion dollars. As a percent of GDP China gains the most, about 3.8 percent of GDP. This is not surprising considering the export-led growth process that is undergoing in the Chinese economy over last two decades. Major oil producing countries lose from global trade liberalisation. These welfare figures are very similar to those found in the literature (Whalley (1985), Harrison-Rutherford-Tarr (1997), Ghosh and Whalley (1997)).

Table 7
Hicksian EV by region from global trade liberalization
(Benchmark 1995, for $\sigma = 0.75$; $\sigma^d=4$; and $\sigma^m=6$)

Trading blocks or model regions	Welfare gains from free trade as a percent of GDP	Welfare gains in billion of 1995 US dollars
USA	0.825	54
Japan (JPN)	1.932	91
Europe (EUR)	0.949	67
UK	1.054	11
Australia-Canada and New Zealand (CAN)	3.035	27
China (CHN)	3.723	34
Former Soviet Union (FSU)	0.149	1
Central and East Asia (CEA)	2.143	6
Asia (ASI)	1.849	20
OPEC Countries (MOP)	-0.346	-3
Rest of the World (ROW)	0.886	17
Global gain	1.300	323

See Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

We conduct a sensitivity analysis around key elasticity parameters in the production and utility functions to check the robustness of the results presented above. We make a ten step grid of three key substitution elasticities: substitution elasticity among factors of production (σ), elasticity of substitution between domestic supplies and imports in consumption (σ^m) and transformation elasticity for domestic supplies and exports (σ^d). Welfare gains as a percentage of base year GDP from global free trade are presented in Table 8, which shows welfare improving with

increase in the elasticity in all regions except in Former Soviet Union (FSU) Region and major oil producers (MOP) region. Every regions may experience gains from global trade in case of higher values of elasticities.

Table 8
Sensitivity of welfare to production and substitution elasticities in the global model
(Welfare gain % of GDP from moving to the global free trade in 1995)

Substitution elasticities in production, imports and exports										
Scenario	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10
σ	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75	2.00	2.25	2.50	2.75	3.00
σ^d	2.25	2.50	2.75	3.00	3.25	3.50	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.50
σ^m	3.25	3.50	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.75	5.00	5.25	5.50
Welfare gains % of GDP from moving to the global free trade in 1995 (by region and by the range of values for the elasticity of substitution)										
Scenario	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10
USA	0.693	0.715	0.737	0.759	0.781	0.801	0.82	0.838	0.855	0.871
JPN	1.049	1.179	1.317	1.464	1.618	1.779	1.944	2.112	2.282	2.451
EUR	0.824	0.854	0.883	0.911	0.939	0.967	0.996	1.026	1.059	1.093
UK	0.623	0.679	0.737	0.796	0.858	0.921	0.987	1.054	1.124	1.195
CAN	1.437	1.54	1.647	1.761	1.887	2.031	2.195	2.388	2.616	2.894
CHN	1.598	1.786	1.978	2.176	2.382	2.598	2.826	3.069	3.329	3.611
FSU	-0.595	-0.548	-0.498	-0.445	-0.388	-0.328	-0.263	-0.191	-0.111	-0.021
CEA	1.177	1.208	1.247	1.295	1.351	1.421	1.506	1.614	1.748	1.917
ASI	0.164	0.344	0.526	0.712	0.9	1.093	1.291	1.494	1.704	1.92
MOP	-1.331	-1.209	-1.09	-0.973	-0.853	-0.729	-0.599	-0.463	-0.322	-0.175
ROW	-0.088	-0.008	0.075	0.161	0.251	0.344	0.442	0.544	0.652	0.765

See Table 1 for countries included in above regions.

Welfare gains from the liberalisation of the global trade as reported above are based on the comparative static analysis. It can only describe steady state situation, it requires a fully specified dynamic global trade model to track transitional dynamics of policy reform which we have left as an exercise for the next phase of research. It is more encouraging that some work has been already started to this direction (Diao and Somwaru (2002)).

5. Conclusion

This paper reports on a 11 region 15 sector global trade model including the UK as a separate region. The UK is modelled as part of the wider world economy, where key regions and countries (such as the UK, the EU, USA, Japan, China, Canada-Australia and New Zealand, Africa and other Rest of the World economies) are treated as separate but linked economies with substantial detail in the representation of production and consumption. A representative household in each trading region maximises utility subject to a budget constraint, and producers maximise profit subject to technology constraints even in the global model. Households buy both domestic and foreign goods and producers produce for both domestic and foreign markets. Equilibrium conditions in each region and at the global level imply that markets for goods, labour and capital clear, competitive firms earn zero economic profit, the income and expenditure of a representative household are equal, trade is balanced and all government revenue is transferred to a household. Model parameters are calibrated using information on international trade flows and production and consumption flows in each region reported in the GTAP4 data base for 1995.

This model shows that an elimination of tariffs increases the volume of trade at the global level. Almost all trading communities/regions in our model experience gains from liberalization. Gains from free trade at the global level are 1.3 percent of the global GDP, roughly about 325 billion dollars in 1995. In absolute Japan gains most followed by Europe and the USA. UK gains about 11 billion dollars (6.8 billion pounds) from the multilateral trade liberalisation compared to 3 billion dollar gains from a unilateral liberalisation. The gain occurring to the China is much larger as a

share of GDP than any other region included in the model. OPEC economies loose from global scale liberalization. This is mainly due to the removal of subsidies on their imports from developed countries and a significant amount of distortions prevalent in the domestic markets of these economies.

We carry out sensitivity analysis around major model parameters in the production and consumption functions of the model. The results show that the welfare gains reported are sensitive to values of substitution elasticities. It is possible to show much larger gains with higher values of production and trade elasticities. In general, model results show significant welfare gains to the UK economy from the removal of tariffs on international trade.

6. References

- Arrow, J. K. and G. Debreu (1954) "Existence of an Equilibrium for a Competitive Economy" *Econometrica* 22, 265-90.
- Bhagwati, J. N. and T. N. Srinivasan (1992) Lectures on International Trade, MIT Press.
- Bhattarai, K. (2000) Efficiency and Factor Reallocation Effects and Marginal Excess Burden of Taxes in the UK Economy, Hull Economics Research Paper no. 7.
- Bhattarai, K. and J. Whalley (1998) "The Division and Size of Gains from Liberalization of Service Networks" NBER Working Paper No. 6712, August
- Bhattarai, K., M. Ghosh and J. Whalley (1999) "On Some Properties of Widely Used Trade Closure", *Economics Letters*, Vol.62, no.1, pp. 13-21.
- Bhattarai, K., M. Ghosh and J. Whalley (1998) "More on Trade Closure", in G. Ranis and K. Raut ed. Trade, Growth and Development: Essays in Honor of Professor T.N. Srinivasan, Yale University.
- Brook, A, D. Kendrick, A. Meeraus (1992) GAMS: Users's Guide, release 2.25, The Scientific Press, San Francisco, CA.
- Gerard, D. (1954) The Theory of Value, Yale University Press, New Haven.
- Diao X. and Somwaru A. (2000) "An Inquiry on General Equilibrium Effects of MERCOSUR – An Intertemporal World Model", *Journal of Policy Modelling* 22(5):557-588.
- Dirkse, S. P. and M. C. Ferris (1995) "CCPLIB: A collection of nonlinear mixed complementarity problems" *Optimization Methods and Software*. 5:319-345.
- Dervis, Kamal, De Melo Jaime, and Robinson, Sherman, 1985: General Equilibrium Models for Development Policy, CUP, New York.
- Ghosh, M. and J. Whalley (1997) "The Value of MFN Treatment", *manuscript*, University of Western Ontario.
- Goulder, L. H., and L.H. Summers (1989) "Tax Policy, Asset Prices, and Growth: A General Equilibrium Analysis", *Journal of Public Economics*, 38:265-296, North Holland.
- Harrison, G.W., T.F. Rutherford and D.G. Tarr (1997) "Quantifying the Uruguay Round", *Economic Journal* vol. 107 no. 444, September, pp.1405-1430,
- Hertel, T. (1997) Global Trade Analysis: Modeling and Applications Cambridge University Press.

- Mansur, A. and J. Whalley (1984) “Numerical Specification of Applied General Equilibrium Models: Estimation, Calibration and Data”, in Scarf Herbert E and Shoven John B. (1984) Applied General Equilibrium Analysis, CUP.
- Will, M. and L. A. Winters (1996) The Uruguay Round and the developing countries, Cambridge University Press.
- McDougall, R. A. (1998) Global Trade Assistance and Protection: The GTAP Data Base 4, Global Trade Analysis Project, Purdue University.
- Office for National Statistics (ONS (1995)) Input Output: Tables for the United Kingdom, HMSO, London.
- (1992), United Kingdom National Accounts: Sources and Methods.
- (1996) United Kingdom National Accounts: the Blue Book.
- Office for National Statistics (ONS (1995)) Family Spending: A report on the 1994-95 Expenditure Survey.
- Perroni, C. and J. Whalley (1996) “How Severe is Global Retaliation Risk under Increasing Regionalism”, *AER Papers and Proceedings*, vol.86. no. 2, May.
- Piggott J. and J. Whalley (1985) UK Tax Policy and Applied General Equilibrium Analysis, Cambridge University Press.
- Rutherford, T. F. (1997) The GAMS/ MPSGE and GAMS/MILES user notes, GAMS Development Corporation, Washington D.C.
- Rutherford, T. F. (1997) "GTAP in GAMS: The Dataset and Static Models", mimio, University of Colorado, Boulder
(<http://nash.Colorado.edu/tomruth/gtapingams/html/gtapgams.html>)
- Rutherford, T. F. and D. Tarr (1998) “Regional Trading Arrangements for Chile: Do The Results Differ with a Dynamic Model?”, a paper presented at the Dynamic CGE Conference in Denmark.
- St-Hilaire, F. and J. Whalley (1983) A microconsistent equilibrium data set for Canada for use in tax policy analysis, *Review Income and Wealth*, 29, 175-204.
- Shoven, J. B. and J. Whalley (1992) Applying General Equilibrium, CUP, 1992.
- Shoven, J. B. and J. Whalley (1984) “Applied General-Equilibrium Models of Taxation and International Trade: An Introduction and Survey”, *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. XXII, Sept, 1984, pp.1007-1051.

Taylor, L. (Ed.) (1990) Socially Relevant Policy Analysis: Structuralist Computable General Equilibrium Models for the Developing World, MIT Press, Cambridge 1990.

Walras, L. Elements of Pure Economics, Allen and Unwin, London, 1954.

Whalley, J. and C. Hamilton (1996) The Trading System: After the Uruguay Round, Institute for International Economics, Washington D.C.

Whalley, J. (1985) Trade Liberalization Among Major World Trading Areas, MIT Press, Cambridge.

Whalley J. and B. Yeung (1983) "External Sector 'Closing' Rules in Applied General Equilibrium Models" *Journal of International Economics*, 15, pp. 1-16.

Appendix

Trade distortions by import tariff and export taxes: illustration in case of agriculture sector

GTAP Import Tariff Rates by Sector for the year 1995 (in %)

Agriculture											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	CAN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		165	13	17		34	-3	6	59	4	3
JPN	1		6	20	4	4	8	6	13	7	10
EUR	5	27	3		1	1	5	10	46	9	15
UK	1	27	2			-2	-1	10	6	11	27
ACN	1	116	5	5	3	2	2	4	27	4	8
CHN	3	11	5	4	1	3	8	2	24	10	19
FSU	2	1	18	13		17	6	8	13	7	23
CEA	32	6	29	2	1	11	2	-3	30	6	11
ASI	3	9	10	15	2	8		4	21	11	10
MOP	1	6	11	11	1	10	3	6	22	13	20
ROW	8	20	8	22	2	7	1	8	31	14	8

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998 see; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions. Tariff rates for other sectors are available upon request.

Appendix 2

GTAP Export Tax Rates on Net Basis by Sectors for 1995 (in %)

Agriculture											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	CAN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	1	1	1		-1				
JPN	-7		-3	-9	-6	-13	-38	-35	-15	-29	-37
EUR	-9	-8	-1	-1	-6	-15	-7	-8	-14	-25	-19
UK	-21	-37			-9	-18	-9	-9	-14	-29	-17
ACN	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	-1	-3	-1	-3
CHN	7	6	11	11	11	6	11	10	-9	-21	9
FSU	1	5	2	1		1	2	2	1	1	1
CEA	-2	-7	-3	-4	-1	-9	11	5	-5	9	8
ASI	3	4	3	2	5	3	1	4	4	2	4
MOP	3	2	2	1		1	1	3	3		3
ROW	3	4	6	5	3	3	2	8	4	2	7

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998; see Table 1 for countries included in above regions. Export tax rates for other sectors are available upon request.

Table A1
Sectoral Composition of Exports 1995
(Total volume in million of US \$s)

Agriculture													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.23	0.14	0.02	0.07	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.16	0.14	0.09	1.00	37670
JPN	0.08	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.49	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.03	0.07	1.00	680
EUR	0.02	0.02	0.71	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.05	1.00	56582
UK	0.02	0.04	0.65	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.10	0.07	1.00	3849
ACN	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.02	0.03	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.12	0.06	1.00	18616
CHN	0.05	0.31	0.12	0.01	0.02	0.16	0.02	0.00	0.18	0.09	0.05	1.00	6104
FSU	0.00	0.11	0.44	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.02	0.10	1.00	5690
CEA	0.01	0.02	0.54	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.15	0.01	0.07	0.10	1.00	3206
ASI	0.11	0.20	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.19	0.07	0.05	1.00	15498
MOP	0.37	0.06	0.22	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.10	0.05	1.00	11170
ROW	0.14	0.06	0.40	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.12	1.00	42390
Total	16486	21603	77418	8746	5199	15124	3163	3939	18318	15840	15619		201455

Source:GTAP4 data set 1995.

Extraction													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.10	0.22	0.03	0.14	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.09	0.16	0.21	1.00	8617
JPN	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.31	0.01	0.00	0.49	0.02	0.02	1.00	2080
EUR	0.05	0.00	0.64	0.15	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	1.00	46802
UK	0.20	0.00	0.67	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.06	1.00	13038
ACN	0.58	0.21	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.03	1.00	25192
CHN	0.09	0.37	0.09	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.04	0.09	1.00	3947
FSU	0.01	0.01	0.58	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.27	0.01	0.00	0.06	1.00	17785
CEA	0.02	0.00	0.49	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.26	0.01	0.02	0.07	1.00	3706
ASI	0.01	0.29	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.06	0.02	1.00	14419.06
MOP	0.14	0.27	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.20	0.02	0.07	1.00	130105.7
ROW	0.45	0.05	0.18	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.18	1.00	42546
Total	57340	49457	93745	9180	7511	8686	1504	8259	40370	7217	24969	3E+05	201455

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Other Mining													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.12	0.24	0.05	0.26	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.08	0.07	1.00	8832
JPN	0.18	0.00	0.11	0.02	0.03	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.03	0.02	1.00	5776
EUR	0.09	0.03	0.52	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.04	1.00	53356
UK	0.08	0.02	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.08	0.03	1.00	8241
ACN	0.20	0.24	0.23	0.06	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.03	1.00	10318
CHN	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.02	0.04	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.05	0.06	1.00	7301
FSU	0.06	0.02	0.42	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.25	0.03	0.09	0.02	1.00	2566
CEA	0.05	0.01	0.58	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.14	0.01	0.05	0.07	1.00	3330
ASI	0.18	0.24	0.19	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.05	0.03	1.00	11922
MOP	0.28	0.19	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.04	1.00	11502
ROW	0.09	0.13	0.42	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.10	1.00	22588
Total	17547	14576	55263	7730	4746	8899	1231	3224	15139	9931	7446		145732

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Table A1 (cont.)
Sectoral Composition of Exports 1995
(Total volume in million of US \$s)

Food and drink													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.07	0.14	0.12	1.00	27898
JPN	0.16	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.05	0.04	1.00	1930.19
EUR	0.04	0.03	0.60	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.06	1.00	147794
UK	0.06	0.03	0.59	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.12	1.00	14879
ACN	0.30	0.22	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.13	0.05	0.05	1.00	19631
CHN	0.08	0.48	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.04	1.00	10695
FSU	0.06	0.38	0.20	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.05	0.07	0.01	0.02	1.00	3549
CEA	0.03	0.01	0.42	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.22	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.09	1.00	5333
ASI	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.19	0.13	0.09	1.00	30355
MOP	0.23	0.16	0.24	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.12	0.06	1.00	7050
ROW	0.10	0.08	0.30	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.20	1.00	34554
Total	22204	34098	1E+05	17152	8635	14371	12426	6019	18569	23847	26304		303668.2

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Other manufacturing sector													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.13	0.16	0.05	0.21	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.14	0.15	1.00	59243
JPN	0.22	0.00	0.14	0.03	0.03	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.05	0.02	1.00	30482
EUR	0.05	0.03	0.63	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.04	1.00	278152
UK	0.11	0.03	0.62	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.07	1.00	24679
ACN	0.62	0.11	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.03	1.00	42310
CHN	0.25	0.18	0.16	0.03	0.04	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.05	1.00	133871
FSU	0.06	0.03	0.50	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	1.00	6344
CEA	0.03	0.00	0.74	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.04	1.00	21932
ASI	0.22	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.08	0.06	1.00	92032
MOP	0.35	0.09	0.24	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.06	0.04	1.00	36931
ROW	0.28	0.03	0.35	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.13	1.00	48598
Total	1E+05	59764	3E+05	43488	30274	59045	10738	18725	45532	38391	46609		774574

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Chemical sector													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.09	0.21	0.04	0.20	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.11	0.14	1.00	70893
JPN	0.18	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.06	0.03	1.00	37462
EUR	0.06	0.03	0.62	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	1.00	280501
UK	0.11	0.03	0.63	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.06	1.00	35266
ACN	0.64	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.03	1.00	19606
CHN	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.04	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.05	1.00	30401
FSU	0.10	0.02	0.38	0.04	0.01	0.17	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.09	1.00	10213
CEA	0.04	0.01	0.45	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.23	0.03	0.02	0.09	1.00	10513
ASI	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.23	0.01	0.00	0.25	0.08	0.08	1.00	32443
MOP	0.18	0.06	0.22	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.24	0.08	0.11	1.00	21757
ROW	0.19	0.02	0.21	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.39	1.00	21112
Total	56697	24545	2E+05	30220	25834	42833	6051	14644	49475	30985	47151		570167

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Table A1 (cont.)
Sectoral Composition of Exports 1995
(Total volume in million of US \$s)

Metal													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.29	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.16	0.09	1.00	26483
JPN	0.15	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.07	0.03	1.00	29215
EUR	0.05	0.01	0.67	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	1.00	158195
UK	0.08	0.02	0.66	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.05	1.00	16802
ACN	0.56	0.09	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.03	0.02	1.00	22474
CHN	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.02	0.04	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.05	0.04	1.00	25225
FSU	0.14	0.09	0.37	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.14	0.04	0.06	1.00	23550
CEA	0.02	0.01	0.57	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.15	0.05	0.05	0.06	1.00	14837
ASI	0.11	0.18	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.08	0.05	1.00	18487
MOP	0.30	0.10	0.15	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.13	0.06	1.00	12234
ROW	0.17	0.13	0.21	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.17	1.00	30947
Total	45241	20764	2E+05	17718	14352	29223	2399	8191	41929	22797	22058		378449

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Engineering													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.10	0.19	0.06	0.23	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.14	0.12	0.09	1.00	292467
JPN	0.31	0.00	0.14	0.03	0.04	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.05	0.05	1.00	320151
EUR	0.08	0.02	0.54	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	1.00	731215
UK	0.12	0.03	0.58	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.06	1.00	97994
ACN	0.81	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.03	1.00	82369
CHN	0.27	0.10	0.19	0.03	0.04	0.15	0.00	0.01	0.14	0.03	0.05	1.00	127017
FSU	0.03	0.00	0.26	0.03	0.01	0.13	0.21	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.13	1.00	3522
CEA	0.03	0.00	0.62	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.12	0.02	0.03	0.06	1.00	21630
ASI	0.27	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.03	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.04	0.05	1.00	192815
MOP	0.72	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.04	1.00	54433
ROW	0.15	0.00	0.25	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.39	1.00	25889
Total	4E+05	80334	6E+05	1E+05	1E+05	1E+05	19562	34858	2E+05	1E+05	1E+05		1949502

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Private services													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.11	0.48	0.04	0.12	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.03	1.00	76427
JPN	0.25	0.00	0.14	0.01	0.06	0.15	0.03	0.01	0.29	0.04	0.03	1.00	17042
EUR	0.20	0.03	0.56	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	1.00	152688
UK	0.37	0.04	0.28	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.03	1.00	17843
ACN	0.35	0.27	0.11	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.03	0.02	1.00	9108
CHN	0.16	0.18	0.27	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.13	0.04	0.04	1.00	11510
FSU	0.15	0.17	0.36	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.05	1.00	4870
CEA	0.15	0.16	0.35	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.06	1.00	2984
ASI	0.13	0.23	0.23	0.01	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.05	1.00	15611
MOP	0.26	0.15	0.29	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.07	1.00	4747
ROW	0.13	0.15	0.35	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.04	0.11	1.00	10740
Total	52955	25457	1E+05	7509	15405	14747	9434	3365	27376	12639	9875		323570

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Table A1 (cont.)
Sectoral Composition of Exports 1995
(Total volume in million of US \$s)

Public services													
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
USA	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.19	0.04	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.04	0.03	1.00	24653
JPN	0.14	0.00	0.14	0.02	0.02	0.30	0.01	0.00	0.29	0.05	0.03	1.00	499.1201
EUR	0.13	0.00	0.54	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.05	0.04	1.00	50655
UK	0.29	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.14	0.09	0.06	1.00	12568
ACN	0.19	0.02	0.14	0.06	0.02	0.16	0.01	0.00	0.29	0.06	0.04	1.00	5193
CHN	0.14	0.01	0.31	0.07	0.02	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.20	0.07	0.07	1.00	3963
FSU	0.12	0.01	0.36	0.10	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.12	0.06	0.12	1.00	1749
CEA	0.12	0.01	0.36	0.10	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.12	1.00	1319
ASI	0.11	0.01	0.29	0.09	0.02	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.16	0.09	0.08	1.00	10649
MOP	0.13	0.01	0.38	0.11	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.12	0.06	0.11	1.00	8058
ROW	0.11	0.01	0.38	0.10	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.13	0.07	0.11	1.00	12934
Total	16125	590	57172	13605	2192	9652	962	82.12	16545	7726	7589		132240.1

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Utilities										
	USA	EUR	UK	CHN	FSU	CEA	Row	Total	Total vol.	
EUR	0.00	0.86	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	1.00	5901	
ACN	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	856	
CHN	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	0.02	1.00	623	
FSU	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.01	0.46	0.11	0.00	1.00	274	
CEA	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.30	1.00	297	
MOP	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	1.00	86	
ROW	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.81	1.00	113	
Total	933	5353	668	612	127	156	301		8150	

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Construction										
	EUR	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW	Total	Total vol.
EUR	0.42	0.00	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.01	0.24	0.15	1.00	13958
ACN		0.50					0.33	0.17	1.00	6
CHN	0.09	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.15	0.02	0.40	0.26	1.00	761
FSU	0.21	0.00	0.32	0.03	0.07	0.01	0.20	0.15	1.00	402
CEA	0.22	0.00	0.33	0.03	0.07	0.01	0.20	0.14	1.00	5521
ASI	0.13	0.00	0.35	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.24	0.15	1.00	1429
ROW	0.17		0.30	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.21	0.18	1.00	126
Total	7464	60	3301	696	1880	215	5199	3388		22203

Source: GTAP4 data set 1995.

Table A2
GTAP Import Tariff Rates by Sector for the year 1995 (in %)

Agriculture											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		165	13	17		34	-3	6	59	4	3
JPN	1		6	20	4	4	8	6	13	7	10
EUR	5	27	3		1	1	5	10	46	9	15
UK	1	27	2			-2	-1	10	6	11	27
ACN	1	116	5	5	3	2	2	4	27	4	8
CHN	3	11	5	4	1	3	8	2	24	10	19
FSU	2	1	18	13		17	6	8	13	7	23
CEA	32	6	29	2	1	11	2	-3	30	6	11
ASI	3	9	10	15	2	8		4	21	11	10
MOP	1	6	11	11	1	10	3	6	22	13	20
ROW	8	20	8	22	2	7	1	8	31	14	8
Extraction											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA						6	8	1	6	2	8
JPN			1	1		5	5	4	8	8	2
EUR	2	3			8	7	5	6	28	12	18
UK	1	1			9	7	9	6	24	11	11
ACN						3			5	4	10
CHN	1	2	1		2	1	5	2	5	5	20
FSU	2				9	5	5	1	3	6	6
CEA	1		1		1	8	5	4		4	13
ASI	2	1	1	1		4	5	1	9	4	25
MOP	1	1			3	4	5	2	6	6	10
ROW	1	1		1	4	3	6	2	3	6	12
Other mining											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA			1	1		9	10	9	10	3	10
JPN	6		4	5	7	9	16	12	9	10	15
EUR	5	1			6	10	16	8	6	13	15
UK	4	3			8	5	18	8	4	12	14
ACN						1	31	3	4	7	7
CHN	6	1	4	5	6	12	11	3	17	13	20
FSU				1		3	13		11	16	7
CEA	8	1	4	4	3	1	18	6	14	20	22
ASI	1		1	2	5	3	22	8	12	10	34
MOP					2	3	43	2	6	11	10
ROW	1				1	3	9	2	5	10	8

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A2 (cont.)
GTAP Import Tariff Rates by Sector for 1995 (in %)

Food and drink											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		27	30	14	6	12	12	15	33	12	25
JPN	9		23	36	4	21	14	27	30	17	49
EUR	17	42	3	1	11	21	12	19	42	16	26
UK	9	42	1		7	32	18	31	41	45	30
ACN	7	62	64	81	3	18	4	22	46	14	23
CHN	4	33	21	20	5	12	14	14	34	16	98
FSU	3	21	22	17	2	24	10	16	17	11	31
CEA	15	45	31	29	17	13	16	22	70	20	42
ASI	4	16	17	13	2	9	9	6	39	15	45
MOP	2	10	8	14	3	7	9	15	35	21	42
ROW	13	17	25	54	4	15	9	16	35	10	11
Other manufacturing											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		3	4	4	1	10	15	8	12	3	17
JPN	3		5	5	9	30	13	14	12	12	32
EUR	6	8			12	13	13	9	14	15	27
UK	3	6			7	8	17	10	17	14	28
ACN		1	2	2	1	8	16	8	10	7	13
CHN	8	5	8	8	12	31	18	9	19	18	27
FSU	8	4	5	3	14	14	14	8	20	14	12
CEA	8	3	5	6	16	26	17	7	32	14	45
ASI	9	3	7	7	14	24	18	5	20	14	29
MOP	3	1	7	7	11	13	18	10	13	16	22
ROW	9	2	7	7	13	13	18	8	11	14	15
Chemical											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		2	4	4	1	8	10	10	14	2	9
JPN	3		5	5	8	11	8	8	13	10	13
EUR	3	2			6	8	9	8	19	10	11
UK	3	2			6	8	11	7	21	8	12
ACN		1	3	3	1	8	13	11	12	10	16
CHN	9	3	6	6	13	23	16	8	17	11	15
FSU		2	5	5	4	6	10	11	26	12	8
CEA	1	1	3	3	5	7	11	7	17	12	17
ASI	5	1	4	4	9	12	13	10	22	11	15
MOP	3	2	3	3	7	9	13	10	29	10	9
ROW	1		4	4	2	8	13	8	35	10	9

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A2 (cont.)
 GTAP Import Tariff Rates by Sector for 1995 (in %)

Metal											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	3	3		9	23	7	10	3	10
JPN	4		4	4	7	11	18	6	13	11	14
EUR	4	1			8	10	12	6	22	14	12
UK	3	1			8	6	15	6	21	13	14
ACN			2	2	1	5	19	6	11	8	16
CHN	4	1	4	4	9	16	14	8	10	11	18
FSU	2		2	2	3	9	13	6	11	10	6
CEA	3	1	3	3	5	8	15	5	13	13	15
ASI	3	2	4	4	8	11	17	7	18	11	23
MOP		1	3	3	3	7	15	8	16	13	11
ROW	1		3	3	3	5	16	6	13	11	9
Engineering											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	3	3	1	9	11	11	9	4	12
JPN	3		5	5	8	15	13	13	12	12	18
EUR	3				7	20	8	8	15	13	14
UK	3	1			7	7	11	7	19	13	12
ACN		1	3	4	2	8	7	12	11	9	15
CHN	3		5	5	6	15	9	11	9	11	14
FSU	1		5	6	6	15	9	12	37	15	10
CEA			4	4	6	27	10	8	30	14	23
ASI	1		4	4	5	8	17	13	9	13	16
MOP			4	3	1	4	7	13	11	15	15
ROW			4	4	6	42	13	11	8	16	14
Utility											
	CHN	FSU	ROW								
EUR			1								
CHN			1								
FSU	1	4									
CEA			1								
Construction											
	ROW										
EUR	1										
ACN	1										
CHN	1										
FSU	1										
CEA	1										
ASI	1										
ROW	1										

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A2 (cont.)
 GTAP Import Tariff Rates by Sector for 1995 (in %)

	Transport			
	JPN	FSU		
USA	3	2		
JPN		2		
EUR	3	2		
UK	3	2		
ACN	3	2		
CHN	3	2		
FSU	3	2		
CEA	3	2		
ASI	3	2		
MOP	3	2		
ROW	3	2		
Private services				
	JPN	CHN	FSU	ROW
USA	3	1	2	
JPN		1	2	1
EUR	3	3	2	1
UK	3	3	2	1
ACN	3	2	2	1
CHN	3	1	2	1
FSU	3	1	2	
CEA	3	1	2	
ASI	3	1	2	1
MOP	3	1	2	
ROW	3	1	2	
Public services				
	JPN	ROW		
USA	2	2		
JPN		2		
EUR	2	2		
UK	2	2		
ACN	2	2		
CHN	2	1		
FSU	2	1		
CEA	2	1		
ASI	2	2		
MOP	2	1		
ROW	2	1		

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A3
GTAP Export Tax Rates on Net Basis by Sectors for 1995 (in %)

Agriculture											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	1	1	1		-1				
JPN	-7		-3	-9	-6	-13	-38	-35	-15	-29	-37
EUR	-9	-8	-1	-1	-6	-15	-7	-8	-14	-25	-19
UK	-21	-37			-9	-18	-9	-9	-14	-29	-17
ACN	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	-1	-3	-1	-3
CHN	7	6	11	11	11	6	11	10	-9	-21	9
FSU	1	5	2	1		1	2	2	1	1	1
CEA	-2	-7	-3	-4	-1	-9	11	5	-5	9	8
ASI	3	4	3	2	5	3	1	4	4	2	4
MOP	3	2	2	1		1	1	3	3		3
ROW	3	4	6	5	3	3	2	8	4	2	7
Extraction											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		8	8	9	1	8	7	9	7	2	7
EUR		1				1	1	1	1	1	1
ACN		1	1	1		2		1	3	2	3
CHN	28	32	-3	-4	3		7	-3	12	27	14
FSU	4	1	3	3	2	6	3	2	2	1	2
ASI	3	7	1	1	4	2		1	5	2	2
MOP			1	1				1			
ROW	8	2	8	6	4	8	3	4	6	7	2
Other Mining											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		4	4	4		3	4	2	3	1	2
EUR	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CHN	-14	-14	-12	-12	-15	-9	-10	-6	-13	-17	-17
ASI	1	1	1	1	2	1	1		3	1	2
MOP	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	1		1
ROW	1			-4	1	-1	-2	-1	1	1	1

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A3(cont.)
GTAP Export Tax Rates on Net Basis by Sectors for 1995 (in %)

Food and drinking											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		3	1	3	-1		-3	1		-3	-1
JPN	-2		-7	-7	-4	-3	-19	-32	-9	-40	-2
EUR	-11	-12		-1	-13	-12	-19	-14	-26	-37	-26
UK	-3	-11			-2	-12	-4	-9	-5	-19	-16
ACN	-1	-2	-4	-2		-3	-2	-3	-7	-11	-4
CHN	-3	1	4	-3	-3		30	13	-1	-10	-3
FSU	6	3	3	4	3	3		1	3	1	2
CEA	-10	-7	-12	-4	-4	-8	-5		-16	-13	-10
ASI	-4	-5	-5	-4	-4	-16	-4	-18		-15	-17
MOP	1	1	1				1	-1			1
ROW	2	1	4	-1	4	4	6	7	5	3	3
Other manufacturing											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1
EUR	1	1			1	1			1	1	1
CHN	10		9	9	8	-1	-3	1	1	2	2
FSU		1		1						1	
ASI	7	3	4	4	5	2	1	1	4	1	1
MOP			-1	-2				-1		-1	-1
ROW	1		1		1	-2					
Chemical											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		2	2	2		2	2	2	2		2
EUR										1	1
CHN	-8	-8	-9	-9	-8	-2	-12	-9	-6	-7	-8
ASI	4	2	3	3	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
MOP			1	1			1			1	
ROW	1	5	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	2
Metal											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1
EUR										1	1
CHN	-4	-5	-6	-5	-4	-3	-9	-6	-4	-5	-7
ASI	1	1	2	2	2	1		2	3	2	1
MOP			1							1	
ROW								1	-1		

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Table A3 (cont.)
GTAP Export Tax Rates on Net Basis by Sectors for 1995 (in %)

Engineering											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1
EUR										1	1
ACN		1	1						1	1	1
CHN	-2	-3	-3	-2	-3	-1	-6	-2	-2	-3	-3
ASI	3	3	2	4	3	2		2	4	2	1
MOP						1				1	
ROW	2	2	1	1	2	1					
Utilities											
	EUR	CHN	FSU	CEA	ROW						
EUR					1						
CHN		-9			-11						
FSU	-1	-1	-1	-1							
CEA	-1			-1	-1						
MOP					4						
Construction											
	EUR	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW			
EUR			1	1	1	1	1	1			
ACN	475		475	475	475	475	475	475			
FSU	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
ROW	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			
Transportation											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		12	12	12	2	12	12	12	12	7	12
EUR	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ASI	1	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
MOP	1	3	3	3	2	4	2	2	3	2	3
ROW	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Private services											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
USA		8	8	8	1	8	8	8	8	3	8
EUR	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1
FSU	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
ASI	1		1	1	1	1		1	1	1	2
ROW	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Public services											
	USA	JPN	EUR	UK	ACN	CHN	FSU	CEA	ASI	MOP	ROW
ASI			1	1			1	1	1	1	1
MOP	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	8	8	8
ROW	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Source: GTAP data base version 4, 1998.

Appendix B

\$TITLE UK Dataset for the global trade model of the UK economy

SET I Sectors/
agrAgriculture
extExtraction
omiOther mining
fdrFood and drink
omaOther manufacturing
chmChemical
MTLMetals-related industry(IRONSTL & NONFERR)
engEngineering
uti Utility
conConstruction
trn Transport distribution and communication
prs Private services
pub Public service
hsn Housing
CGDSavings good /;

SET R Aggregated Regions /
USAUnited States
JPNJapan
EUREurope
UK United Kingdom
ACNAustralia Canada and New Zealand
CHNChina
FSU Former Soviet Union
CEACentral European Associates
ASIOther Asia
MPCMexico plus OPEC
ROWOther countries /;

SET F Factors of production
/ LNDLand,
SKLSkilled labor,
LABUnskilled labor,
CAPCapital,
RESNatural resources /

\$TITLE UK Dataset Mapping from GTAP version 4 to 10 regions and 10 goods

\$SETGLOBAL source gtap4001

set mapi Mapping for sectors and goods /
PDR.agrPaddy rice,
WHT.agrWheat,

GRO.agrGrains (other than rice and wheat),
 V_F.agrVegetable fruit nuts
 OSD.agrOil seeds
 C_B.agrSugar cane and beet
 PFB.agrPlant-based fibers
 OCR.agrCrops n.e.c.
 CTL.agrBovine cattle - sheep and goats - horse
 OAP.agrAnimal products n.e.c.
 RMK.agrRaw milk
 WOL.agrWool,
 FRS.agrForestry,
 FSH.agrFishing,
 COL.extCoal,
 OIL.extOil,
 GAS.extNatural Gas,
 OMN.omiOther Minerals,
 CMT.fdrBovine cattle meat products
 OMT.fdrMeat products n.e.c.
 VOL.fdrVegetable oils
 MIL.fdrDairy products
 PCR.fdrProcessed rice,
 SGR.fdrSugar
 OFD.fdrOther food products
 B_T.fdrBeverages and tobacco,
 TEX.omaTextiles,
 WAP.omaWearing apparel,
 LEA.omaLeather goods,
 LUM.omaLumber and wood,
 PPP.omaPulp and paper,
 P_C.extPetroleum and coal products,
 CRP.chmChemicals rubber and plastics,
 NMM.omiNon-metallic mineral products,
 I_S.mtlPrimary ferrous metals,
 NFM.mtlNon-ferrous metals,
 FMP.mtlFabricated metal products,
 MVH.engMotor vehicles
 OTN.engOther transport equipment
 ELE.engElectronic equipment
 OME.engMachinery and equipment,
 OMF.omaOther manufacturing products,
 ELY.utiElectricity
 GDT.utiGas manufacturing and distribution,
 WTR.utiWater
 CNS.conConstruction,
 T_T.trnTrade and transport,
 OSP.prsOther services (private),
 OSG.pubOther services (public),
 DWE.hsnDwellings,
 CGD.cgdSavings good/;

SET MAPR mapping GTAP regions /
 AUS.ACNAustralia
 NZL.ACNNew Zealand
 JPN.JPNJapan
 KOR.ASIREpublic of Korea
 IDN.MPCIndonesia
 MYS.ASIMalaysia
 PHL.ASIPhilippines
 SGP.ASISingapore
 THA.ASIThailand
 VNM.ASIVietnam
 CHN.CHNChina
 HKG.CHNHong Kong
 TWN.CHNTaiwan
 IND.ASIIndia
 LKA.ASISri Lanka
 RAS.ASIRest of South Asia
 CAN.ACNCanada
 USA.USAUnted States of America
 MEX.MPCMexico
 CAM.ROWCentral America and Caribbean
 VEN.ROWVenezuela
 COL.ROWColumbia
 RAP.ROWRest of Andean Pact
 ARG.ROWArgentina
 BRA.ROWBrazil
 CHL.ROWChile
 URY.ROWUruguay
 RSM.ROWRest of South America
 GBR.UKUnited Kingdom
 DEU.EURGermany
 DNK.EURDenmark
 SWE.EURSweden
 FIN.EURFinland
 REU.EURRest of EU,
 EFT.EUREuropean Free Trade Area
 CEA.CEACentral European Associates
 FSU.FSUFomer Soviet Union
 TUR.ROWTurkey
 RME.MPCRest of Middle East
 MAR.ROWMorocco
 RNF.MPCRest of North Africa
 SAF.ROWSouth Africa
 RSA.ROWRest of South Africa
 RSS.ROWRest of Sub-Saharan Africa
 ROW.ROWRest of World /;

\$TITLE UKGTAPinGAMS -- Global Economy Model from UK Perspective

- * Note:
- * This is the model implemented in MPSGE.
- * This implementation accomodates both constant-elasticity of transformation between production for domestic and export markets ($\eta < +\text{INF}$), and perfect substitution between those markets ($\eta = +\text{INF}$).
- * Variables, equations and GAMS keywords are in UPPER case.
- * Sets and parameters are in lower case.
- * Read the dataset using the standard routine:

```
$SETGLOBAL dataset uk
$IF EXIST dataset $INCLUDE dataset
$INCLUDE ..\inclib\mrtdata
```

SCALAR

```
etaElasticity of transformation - domestic vs. exports/ +inf /,
esubdmElasticity of substitution - domestic vs. imports/ 4 /,
esubmm Elasticity of substitution - imports / 8 /
sigmapElasticity of substitution - imports /0.75/;
```

display vim;

\$ONTEXT

\$MODEL:uk

\$SECTORS:

```
C(r)          ! Private consumption
G(r)          ! Public provision
Y(i,r)$vom(i,r) ! Output
M(i,r)$vim(i,r) ! Import aggregation
A(d,i,r)$va(d,i,r) ! Armington aggregation of domestic and imports
YT           ! Transport
```

\$COMMODITIES:

```
PC(r) ! Private demand
PG(r) ! Public provision
PY(i,r)$vom(i,r) and (1/eta=0))! Output price
PD(i,r)$vdm(i,r) and 1/ETA! Domestic price
PX(i,r)$vxm(i,r) and 1/ETA! Export price
PM(i,r)$vim(i,r)! Import price
PA(d,i,r)$va(d,i,r)! Armington composite price
```

PF(f,r)\$e_{voa}(f,r)! Factor price
 PT ! Transport services

\$CONSUMERS:

RA(r) ! Representative agent

* Production:

\$PROD:Y(i,r)\$(\$v_{om}(i,r)>0\$ and \$1/\eta>0\$) S:0 T:\$\eta\$ va:\$\sigma_{map}\$
 O:PD(i,r)Q:v_{dm}(i,r) A:RA(r) T:ty(i,r)
 O:PX(i,r)Q:v_{xm}(i,r) A:RA(r) T:ty(i,r)
 I:PA("i",j,r)Q:v_{afm}(j,i,r) A:RA(r) T:ti(j,i,r)
 I:PF(f,r)Q:v_{fm}(f,i,r) P:pf₀(f,i,r) A:RA(r) T:tf(f,i,r) va:

\$PROD:Y(i,r)\$(\$v_{om}(i,r)>0\$ and \$1/\eta=0\$) S:0 va:\$\sigma_{map}\$
 O:PY(i,r)Q:v_{om}(i,r) A:RA(r) T:ty(i,r)
 I:PA("i",j,r)Q:v_{afm}(j,i,r) A:RA(r) T:ti(j,i,r)
 I:PF(f,r)Q:v_{fm}(f,i,r) P:pf₀(f,i,r) A:RA(r) T:tf(f,i,r) va:

\$REPORT:

V:FD(f,i,r) I:PF(f,r) PROD:Y(i,r)
 V:YD(i,r)\$(\$1/\eta>0\$) O:PD(i,r) PROD:Y(i,r)
 V:YX(i,r)\$(\$1/\eta>0\$) O:PX(i,r) PROD:Y(i,r)

* Armington aggregation over domestic versus imports:

\$PROD:A(d,i,r)\$v_a(d,i,r) S:e_{subdm}
 O:PA(d,i,r)Q:v_a(d,i,r)
 I:PD(i,r)\$(\$1/\eta>0\$)Q:v_d(d,i,r)
 I:PY(i,r)\$(\$1/\eta=0\$)Q:v_d(d,i,r)
 I:PM(i,r)Q:v_m(d,i,r)

* Armington aggregation across imports from different countries:

\$PROD:M(i,r)\$(\$v_{im}(i,r)>0\$ and \$1/\eta>0\$) S:e_{submm} s.TL:0
 O:PM(i,r) Q:v_{im}(i,r)
 I:PX(i,s) Q:v_{xmd}(i,s,r) P:p_{mx0}(i,s,r)
 + A:RA(S) T:TX(i,s,r) A:RA(r) T:(tm(i,s,r)*(1+tx(i,s,r))) s.TL:
 I:PT#(s) Q:v_{twr}(i,s,r) P:p_{mt0}(i,s,r)s.TL:
 + A:RA(r) T:tm(i,s,r)

\$PROD:M(i,r)\$(\$v_{im}(i,r)>0\$ and \$1/\eta=0\$) S:e_{submm} s.TL:0
 O:PM(i,r) Q:v_{im}(i,r)
 I:PY(i,s) Q:v_{xmd}(i,s,r) P:p_{mx0}(i,s,r)
 + A:RA(S) T:TX(i,s,r) A:RA(r) T:(tm(i,s,r)*(1+tx(i,s,r))) s.TL:
 I:PT#(s) Q:v_{twr}(i,s,r) P:p_{mt0}(i,s,r)s.TL:
 + A:RA(r) T:tm(i,s,r)

* Demand for public output:

\$PROD:G(r) S:1
O:PG(r) Q:vg(r)
I:PA("g",i,r) Q:vgm(i,r) P:pg0(i,r) A:RA(r) T:tg(i,r)

* Private consumption:

\$PROD:C(r) S:1
O:PC(r) Q:vp(r)
I:PA("c",i,r) Q:vpm(i,r) P:pc0(i,r) A:RA(r) T:tp(i,r)

* Inter-national transport services (Cobb-Douglas):

\$PROD:YT S:1
O:PT Q:vt
I:PX(i,r) $\$(1/\eta > 0)$ Q:vst(i,r)
I:PY(i,r) $\$(1/\eta = 0)$ Q:vst(i,r)

* Final demand over consumption, savings and government
* services (Cobb-Douglas):

\$DEMAND:RA(r)
E:PF(f,r) Q:evoa(f,r)
E:PC(num) Q:vb(r)
E:PD(cgd,r) $\$(1/\eta > 0)$ Q:-vi(r)
E:PY(cgd,r) $\$(1/\eta = 0)$ Q:-vi(r)
E:PG(r) Q:-vg(r)
D:PC(r) Q:vp(r)

\$OFFTEXT
\$SYSINCLUDE mpsgeset uk

* Check the benchmark:

uk.ITERLIM = 0;
\$INCLUDE uk.GEN
SOLVE uk USING MCP;

* Fix a numeraire to permit comparison with MCP:

RA.FX(num) = RA.L(num);

* Do a cleanup calculation:

uk.ITERLIM = 8000;
\$INCLUDE uk.GEN
SOLVE uk USING MCP;

\$TITLE Test calculation with the MGEUK model (and MCP solver)

\$INCLUDE mrtuk

alias (r,rr), (s,ss);

PARAMETER

 TMRATE import tariff rate

 TXRATE export tax rate

 imports value of import of good i, from region s to region r

 exports value of exports of good i, from region s to region r

 impsum

 trdcomp

 trdsum

 glblgain

;

TMRATE(I,S,R) = ROUND(100 * TM(I,S,R));

OPTION TMRATE:0:1:1; DISPLAY TMRATE;

TXRATE(I,S,R) = ROUND(100 * TX(I,S,R));

OPTION TXRATE:0:1:1; DISPLAY TXRATE;

imports(i,r,s) = 10000*vxmd(i,r,s);

impsum(s,r) = sum(i, vxmd(i,r,s));

trdcomp(s,r) = impsum(s,r)/sum(ss,impsum(ss,r));

trdsum(r) = sum(s,trdcomp(s,r));

option imports:0:1:1;

display imports, impsum, vxm,vim, txrate, tmrate;

display imports, vxm,vim, trdcomp,txrate, tmrate,trdsum,impsum, imports;

esubdm =4;

esubmm =6;

ty(i,r) = 0;

ti(j,i,r) = 0;

tf(f,i,r) = 0;

tx(i,s,r) = 0;

tm(i,s,r) = 0;

tg(i,r) = 0;

tp(i,r) = 0;

\$INCLUDE uk.GEN

SOLVE uk USING MCP;

```

parameter prices Equilibrium consumer prices;

prices(r,"mge") = pc.l(r);

Parameter welfare(r), wdoller;

welfare(r) = 100*(C.l(r) -1);

display welfare;
wdoller(r,"wefare") =welfare(r);
wdoller(r,"gains") = (welfare(r)*sum((f,i),10*(vfm(f,i,r))))/100;
glblgain = 100*sum(r,wdoller(r,"gains"))/sum(rr, sum((f,i),10*(vfm(f,i,rr))));

display wdoller, sigmap, esubdm, esubmm, glblgain;
$exit
parameter ep,epp,welfarr,sigg,sigm,sigd;

sigmap = 0.75;

set steps /s1*s10/;
ep(steps) = 0.25;
epp(steps) =0.25;

esubdm =2;
esubmm =3;

loop(steps,
ep(steps+1) = ep(steps);
epp(steps+1) = epp(steps);

sigmap = sigmap +ep(steps);
esubdm = esubdm +epp(steps);
esubmm = esubmm +epp(steps);

$INCLUDE uk.GEN
SOLVE uk USING MCP;
welfare(r) = 100*(C.l(r) -1);

welfarr(r,steps) =welfare(r);
sigg(steps) = sigmap;
sigm(steps) = esubmm;
sigd(steps) = esubdm;

);

display imports, vxm,vim, trdcomp,txrate, tmrate,trdsum,impsum, imports;
display welfare, welfarr, sigg, sigm,sigd;

```